			<i>'</i> ,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/489,895	HENKEL ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwin M Craig	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED i) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due cou	rse. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>9-28-2004</u> .			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-8</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>6-30-2000</u> are accepted by the Exa	miner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority upon a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	re been received. re been received in Applicati	on No	from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the require	ements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subr INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv			CE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	ıst be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper		ew (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	_•		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment o	or in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in			:k) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			the:
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413),	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date	08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment	/
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		s Statement of Reason for Allowar	nce
of Biological Material	9.	KEWN J. TESKA WEUNE TO EXAMINE P	

Application/Control Number: 09/489,895

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

And

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

1. Claims 1-8 are allowed.

Double Patenting

- 2. In regards to the Applicants arguments concerning the rejections of Claims 2 and 3 under the Judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,287. Applicants argued in the last response that;
- "U.S. Patent 6,622,287 does not disclose creating a refined instruction set derived from captured gate-level energy simulation data."

The Examiner has found this argument to be persuasive and withdraws the earlier Judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting rejections of Claims 2 and 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. After a review of Applicant's specification and in view of Applicant's arguments the Examiner has determined that the claims are teaching to the specification in that they are directed towards a "refined instruction set derived from captured gate-level energy simulation data."

Which is enabled and supported by the specification, more specifically, Figure 7 and page 9.

The Examiner withdraws the 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejections of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/489,895

Art Unit: 2123

Reasons for Allowance

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art does not teach or make obvious the following limitations, in combination with the other limitations recited in Applicant's independent claims:

"Determining if no data dependencies or no correlation between instructions of the initially. Defined instruction set are present, and if so, increasing the complexity of the initially defined instruction to create a refined instruction set; Determining if data dependencies or correlation between instructions of the initially defined instruction set are present, and if so, decreasing the complexity of the initially defined instruction set to create a refined instruction set;"

As enabled by Figure 7 and the description on page 9 of Applicant's specification this limitation is a non-obvious modification over the prior art.

Applicant argued in the last correspondence, dated 28 September 2004 that;

"With respect to the art-based rejections, Applicant's undersigned representative pointed out that claim 1 recites the creation of an instruction set that models captured gate-level simulation date for a core model. An instruction set (e.g., read-buff, write-buff, reset, transmit data, etc.) is created for the core model and these instructions can be used by a higher level simulation (individually or collectively) to model the energy consumption for a particular operation involving one or more instructions. Applicant's undersigned representative noted that at least U.S. Patent No. 6,096,089 fails to teach or suggest this feature of the claimed invention."

After a review of the argument made by the Applicant and a review of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections of the claims, the Examiner notes that the prior art of record, *specifically U.S.*Patent 6,096,089, fails to teach or make obvious the limitation of "creation of an instruction set" as argued by the Applicant. This argument, in combination with the previous arguments presented, as well as Applicant's responses to the prior art of record and the instant amendments to Applicant's claim language, have been, in combination, persuasive such that the claimed limitations are non-obvious modifications over the prior art.

Dependent Claims 3 and 5 are allowable as they depend upon allowed base claims.

ï

4.1 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- **4.2** The following list of prior art references, now made of record, disclose methods to determine power consumption in an electronic device:
 - U.S. Patent 6,075,932 teaches a method of power determination based on output load capacitance.
 - 2. U.S. Patent 6,397,170 teaches gate level power estimations based on weighted toggle counts.
 - 3. U.S. Patent 6,151,568 teaches a power estimation software system with a scenario database.
 - 4. "Instruction Level Power Model of Microcontrollers" by Chaitali Chakrabarti and Dinesh Gaitonde, *IEEE 1999*, discloses modeling power consumption on a micro-controller based on the instructions that are executed.
 - 5. "Power Analysis of Embedded Software: A First Step Towards Software Power Minimization" by Vivek Tiwari, Sharad Malik, and Andrew Wolf teaches power estimation on embedded systems.

Art Unit: 2123

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1-8 are allowed.
- 5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is 703 305-7150. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703 305-9704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC